

# Survival and Mutational Differences based on ESR1 and ESR2 expression in Non-Small **Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC)**

Robert Hsu<sup>1</sup>, Alexis Leyba<sup>1</sup>, Denaly Chen<sup>1</sup>, Harris B. Krause<sup>2</sup>, Andrew Elliott<sup>2</sup>, Wendy Cozen<sup>3</sup>, Evanthia T. Roussos Torres<sup>1</sup>, Misako Nagasaka<sup>3</sup>, Hirva Mamdani<sup>4</sup>, Gilberto Lopes<sup>5</sup>, Hossein Borghaei<sup>6</sup>, Stephen V. Liu<sup>7</sup>, Patrick C. Ma<sup>8</sup>, Ari VanderWalde<sup>2</sup>, Jorge J. Nieva<sup>1</sup> Phoenix, AZ<sup>2</sup>; University of California Irvine, Irvine, CA<sup>3</sup>; Karmanos Cancer Institute, Detroit, MI<sup>4</sup>; University of Miami-Miller school of medicine, Miami, FL<sup>5</sup>, Fox Chase Cancer Center, Philadelphia, PA<sup>6</sup>; Georgetown Lombardi Comprehensive Cancer Institute, Washington, D.C.<sup>7</sup>; Penn State University, State College, PA<sup>8</sup>

### Background

- Estrogen receptor (ER) can activate MAPK signaling but the contribution of the two classical receptors, ER-alpha (*ESR1*) and ER-beta (*ESR2*), is unclear.
- Past trials targeting ER and EGFR in NSCLC lacked efficacy.
- We evaluated the association of *ESR1&2* expression with the genomic landscape and overall survival (OS) in NSCLC.

# Methods

- NSCLC tumors (N = 21603) were tested at Caris Life Sciences (Phoenix, AZ) with NextGen Sequencing of DNA (592-gene or whole exome) and RNA (whole transcriptome).
- (-Mt) Mutation prevalence was calculated for pathogenic SNVs/indels.
- Subgroups stratified by ESR1&2 expression quartiles (transcripts per million, top (-H) and bottom (-L) quartiles were compared).
- A transcriptomic signature associated with MAPK activation (MPAS) was applied (Wagel 2018.)
- The  $\chi^2$  and Mann Whitney U tests were applied as appropriate, p-value was adjusted for multiple comparisons (*p* < 0.05).
- Real-world OS was obtained from insurance claims and Kaplan-Meier estimates were calculated.







significance (p < 0.05).

OS between different *ESR1/2* tumors. (C) Survival since start of sotorasib between different *ESR1/2* tumors that are KRAS G12C-Mt. (D) Survival since start of osimertinib between different *ESR1/2* tumors that are *EGFR*-Mt.



# **Study Highlights**

- *ESR1*-H had a greater proportion of females (53% vs. 45%) and adenocarcinoma histology (65% vs. 44%) vs. *ESR1*-L (p<0.05)
- *ESR2*-H had no sex differences (50% vs. 50%) and a greater proportion of squamous cell carcinoma cases (27% vs. 15%) vs. *ESR2*-L
- *ESR1*-H had greater prevalence of *EGFR* (15.0% vs. 8.2%) and *KRAS*-Mt (29.9% vs. 24.2%) vs -L (p<0.05)
- *ESR2*-H had lower prevalence of *EGFR* (11.2% vs. 13.2%) and *KRAS*-Mt (20.6% vs. 34.0%) vs -L (p<0.05)
- *ESR1*-H/*ESR2*-H tumors had the highest MPAS (1.4) AU) vs. ESR1-H/ESR2-L (.52), ESR1-L/ESR2-H (.59) or ESR1-L/ESR2-L (-1.6) (p<0.05)
- ESR1-H had a longer OS (23.8 months vs. 18.0 months) than ESR1-L (p<0.001) as well as ESR2-H (25.6 months) vs. *ESR2*-L (16.5 months) (p<0.001)
- ESR1-H/ESR2-H tumors had the longest OS (25) months) compared *ESR1*-H/*ESR2*-L (18 months), ESR1-L/ESR2-H (16 months) and ESR1-L/ESR2-L (14 months) (p < .001)
- In patients treated with osimertinib, ESR1-L/ESR2-H had the longest median OS (40.1 months) (p=0.03)

# Conclusions

- There are sex differences seen in high vs. low *ESR1* expression not seen in high vs. low ESR2
- Higher *ESR1* expression is enriched in *EGFR* and KRAS mutations contrary to high ESR2 expression
- Longer survival seen in both high *ESR1* and *ESR2* expressors
- *ESR1&2* may play key roles in activating the MAPK pathway and future trials could consider targeted therapy combined with ER inhibition based on *ESR1&2* expression

Contact Dr. Robert Hsu (robert.hsu@med.usc.edu) for additional information