

AI enabled whole exome/transcriptome liquid biopsy addressing MCED, MRD, and therapy selection on a single platform

Jim Abraham^{*1}, Valeriy Domenyuk^{*1}, Nieves Perdigones¹, Sergey Klimov¹, Sourabh Antani¹, Takayuki Yoshino², Elisabeth I. Heath³, Emil Lou⁴, Stephen V. Liu⁵, John L. Marshall⁵, Wafik S. El-Deiry⁶, Anthony F. Shields³, Martin F. Dietrich⁷, Yoshiaki Nakamura², Takao Fujisawa², George D. Demetri⁸, Anna Barker^{9,10}, Joanne Xiu¹, Dominic A. Sacchetti¹, Seth Stahl¹, Robert Hahn-Lowry¹, Adam Stark¹, Chadi Nabhan¹, Jeffrey Swensen¹, George Poste¹⁰, David D. Halbert¹, Matthew Oberley¹, Milan Radovich¹, George W. Sledge¹, David Spetzler¹ ¹Caris Life Sciences, Irving, TX; ²National Cancer Center Hospital East, Chiba, Japan; ³Karmanos Cancer Institute, Wayne State University, Providence, RI; ⁷US Oncology Network, Orlando, FL; ⁸Dana-Farber Cancer Institute and Ludwig Center at Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA; ⁹Lawrence J. Ellison Institute for Transformative Medicine, CA; ¹⁰Arizona State University, Phoenix, AZ

Abstract

Background: cancer diagnosis, treatment and management are possible through the usage of multidisciplinary platforms. Herein, we present a unique Artificial Intelligent (AI)-enabled liquid biopsy platform that can provide sensitive and specific signals for the purpose of multi-cancer early detection (MCED), diagnosis, and therapy selection to minimal residual disease (MRD) and monitoring. **Methods**: We utilized the Caris database composed of genetic data from over 350,000 tissue Whole Exome Sequencing (WES)/Whole Trasnscriptome Sequencing (WTS) of solid malignancies to train deep learning neural networks aimed at uncovering the molecular drivers of cancer. Additionally, WES/WTS sequencing was performed on 4,276 samples to develop a set of cell free DNA (cfDNA) specific features. One hundred and sixty-six of these samples had paired tissue sequenced WES/WTS to facilitate liquid biopsy variant validation. These features were organized into 'pillars' and integrated into the Assure Blood-based Cancer Detection AI (ABCDai) for early detection (binary classifier with 20-fold cross-validation) and tissue-of origin determination (multiclass classifier with 20fold cross-validation). Subsequently, these models were evaluated using survival analysis on MRD and Monitoring samples.

Results: The Assure workflow detected CHIP mutations in 27% of samples, many of which were in clinically actionable genes (**Figure 1**). By leveraging CHIP subtraction, the detection of driver mutations from blood collected within 30 days of matched tumor tissue showed high concordance, with a Positive Percent Agreement (PPA) of 93.8% and a Positive predictive value (PPV) of 96.8%. Assure Plasma-derived features were independently significant for MCED (examples in **Figure 3**). When combined with tissue-identified features through ABCDai, we achieved an overall sensitivity of 87.4% at 99.5% specificity (Figure 4). ABCDai applied to plasma extracted before (MRD) and after (Monitoring) therapy showed significant stratification (p<0.05) for patient Disease-Free Survival (DFS), even when controlling for common clinicopathological variables (Figure 5). Tissue of origin is focused on those tumor types that are most common and thus provide the most utility (breast, CRC, gastric, H&N, NSCLC, ovarian, pancreatic, and prostate cancers). Among these cancers, we were able to determine the tissue of origin for 100% of the positive calls with an accuracy within the top three predictions of 84%.

CHIP Identification vital for therapy selection



Fig. 1 - For each gene listed, the value shown is the percentage of the time that the variant is of CH origin. Variants Genes that are clinically relevant in solid tumors are marked in red.

Features powering the Assure Blood-based Cancer Detection AI - ABCDai







Fig. 2 - Pillars are derived from traditional tissue based bioinformatic features (left side) or from biologically relevant characteristics unique to cfDNA sequencing (right side). Machine learning models are trained separately using features from each pillar, and subsequently, these features are combined to train a comprehensive 'Panome' model and a ABCDai final layer that provides the final call and risk score.

Diverse patient and sample data used for development and validation

Table 1– Demographic and tumor origin distribution tables for the ABCDai, MRD and Monitoring cohorts

Patient Age at Dia Median Age (ra Age <50, n(%) Age 50-59, n(%) Age 60-69, n(%) Age >=70, n(%) Unknown, n(%) Male, n(%) Female, n(%) l*,* n(%) ll*,* n(%) III, n(%) IV, n(%) Normal, n(%) Unknown, n(%) **Body Mass Index** Median BMI (r Underweight, Healthy Weight Overweight, n(Obesity, n(%) Unknow<u>n, n(%)</u>



	Patient Character	ristics		Cancer Origin Tissue			
	Early Detection	MRD	Monitoring		Early Detection	MRD	Monitoring
	n = 4,276	<i>n</i> = 446	n = 335		n = 4,276	<i>n</i> = 446	n = 335
ignosis inge).				Bladder Cancer, (%)	61 (1.4)	5 (1.1)	4 (1.1)
	61 (19-95)	62 (21-88)	62 (30-88)	Brain Cancer, (%)	14 (0.3)	-	-
	811 (19.0)	84 (18.7)	61 (17.2)	Breast Carcinoma, (%)	94 (2.2)	204 (45.4)	159 (44.8)
5)	1,134 (26.5)	112 (24.9)	86 (24.2)	Colorectal Cancer, (%)	226 (5.3)	109 (24.3)	83 (23.4)
5)	1,396 (32.6)	134 (29.8)	111 (31.3)	Cancer of Unknown Primary, (%)	18 (0.4)	-	-
	932 (21.8)	119 (26.5)	97 (27.3)	Cholangiocarcinoma, (%)	70 (1.6)	3 (0.7)	2 (0.6)
	3 (0.1)	-	-	Esophageal Cancer, (%)	58 (1.4)	-	-
				Gastric Cancer, (%)	518 (12.1)	8 (1.8)	6 (1.7)
	2,082 (48.7)	97 (21.6)	79 (22.3)	Head and Neck Cancer, (%)	115 (2.7)	1 (0.2)	-
	2,194 (51.3)	352 (78.4)	276 (77.7)	Kidney Cancer, (%)	278 (6.5)	-	-
				Liver Cancer, (%)	16 (0.4)	-	-
	596 (13.9)	123 (27.4)	95 (26.8)	Lung Cancer, (%)	191 (4.5)	17 (3.8)	14 (3.9)
	319 (7.5)	149 (33.2)	111 (31.3)	Lymphoma, (%)	32 (0.7)	-	-
	344 (8.0)	169 (37.6)	142 (40.0)	Melanoma, (%)	26 (0.6)	-	-
	1,170 (27.4)	2 (0.4)	2 (0.6)	Neuroendocrine Tumors, (%)	13 (0.3)	-	-
	1,847 (43.2)	-	-	Normal, (%)	1,847 (43.2)	-	-
	-	6 (1.3)	5 (1.4)	Other, (%)	40 (0.9)	12 (2.7)	6 (1.7)
				Other Female Genital Tract			
(BMI)				Malignancy, (%)	321 (7.5)	20 (4.5)	19 (5.4)
ange)	-	25 (15-49)	25 (15-49)	Ovarian Cancer, (%)	63 (1.5)	36 (8.0)	31 (8.7)
n(%)	-	11 (2.4)	8 (2.3)	Pancreatic Cancer, (%)	94 (2.2)	27 (6.0)	25 (7.0)
t <i>,</i> n(%)	-	203 (45.2)	166 (46.8)	Prostate Cancer, (%)	79 (1.8)	1 (0.2)	1 (0.3)
%)	-	149 (33.2)	113 (31.8)	Small Bowel Cancer, (%)	40 (0.9)	6 (1.3)	4 (1.1)
	-	81 (18.0)	66 (18.6)	Thyroid Cancer, (%)	30 (0.7)	-	1 (0.3)
)	-	5 (1.1)	2 (0.6)	Uveal Melanoma, (%)	32 (0.7)	-	-

cfDNA derived features show significant cancer signal Fig. 3- A) Median fragment





length across approximate 5MB bins for normal samples vs. late-stage cancer samples. B) Normalized Transcriptionome Entropy for CDK12 shows a significant difference after multiple hypothesis correction C) The AATT Motifs shown to have significance between NSCLC and Normal cfDNA ⁽¹⁾.



Fig. 4 - Sensitivity of ABCDai by Tumor Type and Stage. Bar plots representing the ABCDai sensitivity on aggregated held-out folds from 20-fold cross-validation.

ABCDai identifies residual disease and stratifies recurring patients



Fig. 5 - Kaplan-Meier survival curves depict MRD (top), or Monitoring (bottom) samples categorized into risk groups using an ABCDai pillar's model. Multivariate (MV) hazard ratios in tables account for common clinicopathological variables, with an event defined as patient relapse or death post-surgery

MRD		MV Hazard Ratio	95% Confidence Interv	val <i>p</i> -value
	Model Prediction			
	Recurrence Free	1.00	-	-
	Recurrence	6.44	(3.33-12.45)	<0.001
	Patient Age at Diagnosis			
	<50*	1.00	-	-
- <mark></mark>	50-59	1.43	(0.42-4.88)	0.56
Relapse Predicted (N=312)	60-69	3.52	(1.15-10.75)	0.03
	>=70	1.89	(0.60-5.93)	0.28
	Gender			
	Male	1.00	-	-
-1	Female	0.51	(0.26-0.99)	0.05
	BMI Group			
ose Predicted (N=35)	Healthy Weight	1.00	-	-
	Underweight	1.86	(0.55-6.33)	0.32
	Overweight	0.41	(0.21-0.82)	0.01
	Obesity	0.25	(0.09-0.70)	0.01
5. p<0.005	Stage			
5, p < 0.000	1	1.00	-	-
59, p<0.005	2	1.19	(0.45-3.13)	0.72
1500 2000	3 4	2.86	(1.24-6.61)	0.01
)	(-): reference class			
Monitoring		MV Hazard Ratio	95% Confidence Interv	val <i>p</i> -valu
	Model Prediction			
	Recurrence Free	1.00	-	-
	Recurrence	1 96	(1 04-3 68)	0 04
	Patient Age at Diagnosis	1.50	(1.01 5.00)	0.01
	<50*	1 00	_	_
	50-59	1.00	(0.46-4.17)	0.56
	20-23	2.20 T.30	(0.40 ⁻ 4.17)	0.50
Relapse Predicted (N=239)	00-03 N−70	5.UJ 2 10	(1.14-0.11) (1.00 6 0.2)	0.03
-	/U Gender	2.40	(0.09-0.93)	0.08
	Male	1 00		
	Fomala	1.00	-	-
		0.77	(0.41-1.43)	0.40
		4.00		
pse Predicted (N=47)	Healthy Weight	1.00	-	-
	Underweight	3.15	(0.88-11.23)	0.08
	Overweight	0.49	(0.25-0.96)	0.04
	Obesity	0.55	(0.25-1.22)	0.14
, p<0.005	Stage			
.34, p<0.01	1	1.00	-	-
			(0.00 5.04)	0.12
	2	2.09	(0.82-5.31)	0.12
1500 2000	2 3 4	2.09 3.38	(0.82-5.31) (1.45-7.87)	<0.002

ABCDai adresses important clinical needs

S

Metastatic, Driver **SNV/INDEL** Variants **Multi-cancer Early** Detection

Uni

MRD

Monitoring

Fig. 6 – Performance Metrics of the ABCDa therapies, MCED, and MRD and monitoring. (HR): Hazard Ratio.

Conclusions

- disease with targetable driver mutations.
- important capability to detect mutations derived from clonal and familial implications.
- platform to date.
- priori genomic information from the primary tumor.
- 100% of the positive calls with a top three accuracy of 84%.

References

motif profiling. EBioMedicine. 2022;81:104131. doi:10.1016/j.ebiom.2022.104131



Abstract ID: 2300

ensitivity	PPV	Specificity
93.8	96.8	100
87.4	99.5	99.5

variate HR	Multivariate HR	Logrank Statistic
4.59	6.44	29.06
2.34	1.96	8.21
ai platform towa	rds selection of molecularly	targeted

We demonstrate that a comprehensive whole-exome and transcriptomewide liquid biopsy is a versatile solution to address the entire continuum of blood-based clinical applications, including early detection and potential screening for cancer, molecularly based cancer diagnosis, monitoring of MRD and recurrence in the early-stage curative approach setting, and precision selection of therapy for patients with advanced metastatic

Our approach is unique in that we performed high-depth sequencing of white blood cells in addition to cfDNA/RNA from plasma. This provides an

hematopoiesis. Equally importantly, this approach also allows for the detection of incidental germline alterations that can have both therapeutic

We demonstrated the detection of malignancies at their earliest stages across various solid tumor types with a PPV higher than any other clinical

Our results illustrate the application of our liquid biopsy by leveraging a model built to predict MCED in the MRD setting. Patients who were MRDpositive had significantly inferior DFS than those who were MRD-negative. Importantly, the approach employed to detect MRD does not rely on a

Proper clinical intervention requires knowledge of the tissue of origin, and the molecular information provided by Caris Assure can assist in this determination as ABCDai was able to determine the tissue of origin for