

Frequency and outcomes of co-mutations according to ProMisE classifiers in Endometrial Cancer

Michael D. Toboni¹, Peter W. Ketch¹, Harris Benjamin Krause², Sharon Wu², John J. Wallbillich³, Robert Morris³, Radhika Gogoi³, Matthew J. Oberley², Jeff Hirst², Nathaniel L. Jones⁴, Premal H. Thaker⁵, Matthew A. Powell⁵, Thomas J. Herzog⁶, Rouba Ali-Fehmi⁷ University of Alabama Birmingham, Birmingham, AL¹; Caris Life Sciences, Phoenix, AZ²; Karmanos Cancer Institute/ Wayne State University of South Alabama Children's and Women's Hospital, Mobile, AL⁴; Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis, MO⁵; University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH⁶; University of Alabama Children's and Women's Hospital, Mobile, AL⁴; Washington University in St. Louis, MO⁵; University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH⁶; University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH⁶; University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH⁶; University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH⁶; University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH⁶; University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI⁷

Background

- ProMisE criteria classifies four molecular subtypes of endometrial tumors (ET): DNA polymerase epsilon (POLE) mutated, mismatch repair deficient (MMRd), p53 wild type and mutant. There is limited understanding about prognosis when tumors have alterations in multiple classifiers.
- We report the frequency and outcomes of multi-classifier tumors in addition to high-grade biomarkers (loss of heterozygosity [LOH] and cyclin E1 amplification [*CCNE1*-amp]).

Methods

- 5,158 ET underwent whole exome sequencing.
- MMRd was defined as complete loss of ≥1 IHC stains (MLH1, MSH2/6, or PMS2).
- MSI-High (determined from 7000 targeted microsatellite loci) was used as a surrogate for MMRd.
- TP53 mutant (MT) was defined as any pathogenic or likely pathogenic (PLP) SNV, or indel. *POLE*-MT was defined as PLP mutations in the exonuclease domain.
- Autosomal chromosomes were split into 552 segments and the LOH within each segment was calculated (LOH High [H] \geq 16%).
- CCNE1-amp was defined as ≥ 6 gene copies.
- Real-world overall survival was obtained from insurance claims and calculated from tissue collection to last contact (OS) for molecularly defined patients using the Cox proportional hazards model. P values were calculated using the log-rank test.

Results

Molecular Classification	Frequency	Median OS (months)
<i>CCNE1</i> -amp + MMRd	N = 0 (0.0%)	ND
<i>CCNE1</i> -amp + <i>TP53</i> -MT	N = 147 (2.8%)	64.5
<i>CCNE1</i> -amp + <i>POLE</i> -MT	N = 0 (0.0%)	ND
CCNE1-amp + LOH-H	N = 3 (0.1%)	MNR
MMRd + <i>TP53</i> -MT	N = 172 (3.3%)	MNR
MMRd + <i>POLE</i> -MT	N = 8 (0.2%)	MNR
MMRd + LOH-H	N = 13 (0.3%)	MNR
<i>TP53</i> -MT + <i>POLE</i> -MT	N = 29 (0.6%)	56.3
<i>TP53</i> -MT + LOH-H	N = 591 (11.5%)	29.1
POLE + LOH-H	N = 0 (0.0%)	ND
<i>TP53</i> -MT	N = 1493 (28.9%)	30
POLE-MT	N = 60 (1.2%)	MNR
MMRd	N = 921 (17.9%)	40.5
CCNE1-amp	N = 2 (0.0%)	MNR
LOH-H	N = 81 (1.6%)	45.5

Figure 1: Kaplan Meier curves for OS of *TP53*-MT tumors and their





Table 1: Overlap between
 ET subtype and biomarkers and their median survival.

Overlapping subtypes with MMRd, TP53-MT and POLE-MT were observed in 4.1% of cases (MMRd and TP53-MT, n=172 [3.3%]; MMRd and POLE-MT, n=8 [0.2%]; TP53-MT and POLE-MT, n=29 [0.6%])

overlapping subtypes: (A) POLE-MT, (B) dMMR, (C) CCNE1-amp, (D) LOH-H.



Study Highlights

We report on the cooccurrence of MMR, LOH, TP53, POLE and CCNE1 alterations in a large cohort of ET.

• We note that cooccurring POLE-MT/TP53-MT behaves like POLE-MT and follows the ProMisE algorithm, whereas cooccurrence of dMMR/*TP53*-MT tumors are not significantly different than either alone.

Conclusions

• Future work should investigate treatment options for these distinct subtypes.